## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2006 LB 32

SENATOR CUDABACK: Good afternoon. Welcome to the George W. Norris Legislative Chamber. Senators, the afternoon session is about to reconvene. Please record your presence. Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: I have a quorum present, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, do you have any items for the record, or announcements?

CLERK: I have nothing at this time, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. It is 1:30. The agenda states, Final Reading. Please read the conditions under which they're to be read. Mr. Clerk, LB 32.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 32. Senator Chambers would move to return the bill for specific amendment, FA577. (Legislative Journal page 1005.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, you're recognized to open on your motion to reconsider.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is a very short bill, and it is not complex. I will tell you what my amendment would do, if you agree. On page 2, in line 6, I would strike the word "of" and show it as stricken, because it's not needed. Then I would strike the word "such" at the end of line 6 and show as stricken, and insert in its place the word "a." Now I will explain what that does. The bill itself is so short that I can read it. "Board members," plural, "shall be reimbursed for their actual and necessary expenses incurred in connection with their duties. Each board may provide a per diem payment for directors," plural, "not to exceed seventy dollars for each day that such director attends This bill does not refer to an individual director, but rather to directors. So what I would do, this is the way it would read with my amendment. "Each board may provide a per diem payment for directors not to exceed seventy dollar for each day that a director attends meetings." The way it's